

Message

---

**From:** Fullagar, Jill [/O=EXCHANGELABS/OU=EXCHANGE ADMINISTRATIVE GROUP (FYDIBOHF23SPDLT)/CN=RECIPIENTS/CN=7BA061353C314B40A14A8BE1EE382AE3-GABLE, JILL]  
**Sent:** 1/6/2017 5:36:20 PM  
**To:** Shelley, Loren [Loren.Shelley@portlandoregon.gov]  
**Subject:** RE: OR 2012 Comment Period

No problem at all, Loren. This particular action is far more complicated than they usually are, so once you get through this one, you should be ready for anything. Absolutely let me know if you have more questions. Thanks!

jill

---

Jill Fullagar, Impaired Waters Coordinator  
Watershed Unit, Office of Water and Watersheds  
US EPA, Region 10  
1200 Sixth Avenue, Suite 900 (OWW-192)  
Seattle, WA 98101-3140  
(206) 553-2582, (206) 553-1280 (fax)  
fullagar.jill@epa.gov

---

**From:** Shelley, Loren [mailto:Loren.Shelley@portlandoregon.gov]  
**Sent:** Friday, January 06, 2017 9:29 AM  
**To:** Fullagar, Jill <Fullagar.Jill@epa.gov>  
**Subject:** RE: OR 2012 Comment Period

That's a big help, Jill, thank you. I'm relatively new in this particular role with Portland so I'm learning a lot about the details of this interesting process. I appreciate your responsiveness. Cheers ~loren

---

**From:** Fullagar, Jill [mailto:Fullagar.Jill@epa.gov]  
**Sent:** Friday, January 06, 2017 9:24 AM  
**To:** Shelley, Loren <Loren.Shelley@portlandoregon.gov>  
**Subject:** RE: OR 2012 Comment Period

Hi Loren,

You are right on both counts.

1. As the criteria changed during our assessment process, we went back and re-evaluated the data to determine if there were any changes. The additions we are proposing are based on the criteria that were approved and in place when we went out for public comment.
2. No, EPA does not generally look for delistings when doing the assessment. Our focus is making sure all waters that should be included on the list have been, so either adding waters that have been omitted in error, or disapproving inappropriate delistings and adding those waters back to the list.

Hope that helps. Just let me know if you need further clarification or have additional questions. Thanks.

jill

---

Jill Fullagar, Impaired Waters Coordinator  
Watershed Unit, Office of Water and Watersheds

US EPA, Region 10  
1200 Sixth Avenue, Suite 900 (OWW-192)  
Seattle, WA 98101-3140  
(206) 553-2582, (206) 553-1280 (fax)  
[fullagar.jill@epa.gov](mailto:fullagar.jill@epa.gov)

---

**From:** Shelley, Loren [<mailto:Loren.Shelley@portlandoregon.gov>]  
**Sent:** Thursday, January 05, 2017 4:32 PM  
**To:** Fullagar, Jill <[Fullagar.Jill@epa.gov](mailto:Fullagar.Jill@epa.gov)>  
**Subject:** RE: OR 2012 Comment Period

Hi Jill, I left you a voicemail on this earlier, but just to follow-up if a written response is easier:

I just have a couple of other questions about EPA's process and I hope they don't take much of your time to answer.

1. Does EPA account for (apply) the latest effective criteria for all parameters? Based on your documents, I believe the answer is 'yes' but I'm not entirely sure. I know some water quality criteria have changed in recent years- metals criteria went from total to dissolved and ammonia also comes to mind which was approved in 2015. However, since the ammonia criteria became less stringent I believe, this could have resulted in additional de-listings, which brings me to my second question...
2. Does EPA ever de-list additional waters, or is your focus on approving/disapproving DEQ's proposed de-listings?

Thanks again, I appreciate your help with the details,  
Loren

---

**From:** Fullagar, Jill [<mailto:Fullagar.Jill@epa.gov>]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, December 28, 2016 3:03 PM  
**To:** Shelley, Loren <[Loren.Shelley@portlandoregon.gov](mailto:Loren.Shelley@portlandoregon.gov)>  
**Subject:** RE: OR 2012 Comment Period

Hi Loren,

EPA is required to seek public comment on any additions we make to Category 5, so that would include the additions and any delistings or corrections that resulted in a water being added to Category 5, so that is why the solicitation is phrased the way it is. However, we would be happy to receive any comments that you might have for us. If you believe we missed adding a water that we should have, question the methodology we used to come to a decision about a water, or feel we've made any other type of error, please let us know that. Any and all comments can be submitted to me following the instructions in the solicitation, and just need to be received by February 6, 2017. All comments will be considered and we will publish a response to each comment when we take our final action, whether or not any changes resulted from the comment. Thank you for your interest in Oregon's waters, and please let me know if you have any other questions.

jill

---

Jill Fullagar, Impaired Waters Coordinator  
Watershed Unit, Office of Water and Watersheds  
US EPA, Region 10  
1200 Sixth Avenue, Suite 900 (OWW-192)  
Seattle, WA 98101-3140  
(206) 553-2582, (206) 553-1280 (fax)  
[fullagar.jill@epa.gov](mailto:fullagar.jill@epa.gov)

---

**From:** Shelley, Loren [<mailto:Loren.Shelley@portlandoregon.gov>]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, December 28, 2016 2:38 PM  
**To:** Fullagar, Jill <[Fullagar.Jill@epa.gov](mailto:Fullagar.Jill@epa.gov)>  
**Subject:** OR 2012 Comment Period

Hello Jill,

I'd like to clarify the comment process for EPA's review of Oregon's 2012 303d list. Is EPA seeking or accepting comments *only on the proposed additions* to the list? Or is your team accepting comments on EPA's *entire* review, including additions, disapprovals, methodology, etc.?

I just want to confirm, as the website was not super clear on that aspect.

Thanks for your help,  
Loren

**Loren Shelley**  
MS4 & TMDL Program Manager  
City of Portland, BES  
e: [loren.shelley@portlandoregon.gov](mailto:loren.shelley@portlandoregon.gov)  
p: 503-823-5275